UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Chrysler Group LLC,			
Plaintiff,			
v.	Case No. 10-12984		
South Holland Dodge, Inc., et al.,	Honorable Sean F. Cox		
Defendants;			
Consolidated with			
Livonia Chrysler Jeep, Inc., a Michigan for profit corporation,			
Plaintiff, v.	Case No. 10-13290		
Chrysler Group, LLC, et al.,	Honorable Sean F. Cox		
Defendants;			
Consolidated with			
Chrysler Group LLC,			
Plaintiff,			
v.	Case No. 10-13908		
Sowell Automotive, Inc., et al.,	Honorable Sean F. Cox		
Defendants.	/		
	,		

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT SOWELL AUTOMOTIVE, INC.'S SECOND MOTION TO DISMISS AND/OR TRANSFER VENUE (DOCKET ENTRY NO. 217)

Earlier in this case, on December 27, 2010, Defendant Sowell Automotive, Inc.

("Sowell"), filed a motion asking this Court to dismiss all claims against it for lack of personal

jurisdiction and/or improper venue or, alternatively, to transfer venue to a district court in

California. (Docket Entry No. 111).

On May 10, 2011, this Court issued a 33-page Opinion & Order that addressed Sowell's

December 27, 2010 Motion to Dismiss and/or Transfer Venue, along with similar motions filed

by other Defendants in this action. (Docket Entry No. 195). This Court denied Sowell's motion

in its entirety and set forth its reasons for doing so in that Opinion & Order. Sowell did not seek

reconsideration of the Opinion & Order.

On May 19, 2011, Chrysler Group LLC filed a Second Amended Complaint for

Declaratory Relief (Docket Entry No. 197), in order to add three additional dealers as parties,

pursuant to this May 10, 2011 Opinion & Order. (See 5/10/11 Opinion & Order at 29-32).

On June 3, 2011, Sowell filed a second motion asking this Court to dismiss all claims

against it for lack of personal jurisdiction and/or improper venue or, alternatively, to transfer

venue. (Docket Entry No. 217). The parties have briefed the issues and the Court finds that oral

argument is unnecessary. The Court therefore orders that the motion will be decided upon the

briefs.

For the reasons set forth in this Court's May 10, 2011 Opinion & Order, IT IS

ORDERED that Sowell's Motion (Docket Entry No. 217) is DENIED.

S/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox

United States District Judge

Dated: August 2, 2011

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on

2

August 2, 2011, by ϵ	electronic	and/or	ordinary	mail.
-------------------------------	------------	--------	----------	-------

S/Jennifer Hernandez
Case Manager